1	CORNER CUBE CHEMICAL-BIOLOGICAL AGENT SENSOR
2	Background
3	The ensuing description relates generally to sensing systems for detecting environmental
4	conditions.
5	Summary
6	A sensing system comprises a corner-cube reflector that has three reflective surfaces
7	wherein at least one of the reflective surfaces is a surface of a bimaterial cantilever. The
8	reflective surface of the bimaterial cantilever undergoes a change between a substantially planar
9	shape and a curved shape upon direct exposure to an agent of interest. Such a change is
10	perceived by a suitable detector.
11	Other objects, advantages and new features will become apparent from the following
12	detailed description when considered in conjunction with the accompanied drawings.
13	Brief Description of the Drawings
14	FIG. 1 illustrates a prior art corner cube reflector.
15	FIG. 2 is a representative view of a sensor according to the description herein.
16	FIG. 3 is a representative view of another sensor according to the description herein.
17	FIG. 4 depicts a utilization of a sensor according to the description herein.
18	Description
19	Referring to FIG.1, a prior art corner cube reflector 10 is illustrated. Reflector 10 is

1	shown to include three planar reflective surfaces 12, 14 and 16 that in this figure are arranged to
2	be mutually orthogonal and that cumulatively form a right-angle concave mirror.

Such corner cube reflectors may, for example, be fabricated via the emerging technology known as MEMS (Micro Electro Mechanical Systems). The term MEMS broadly encompasses many different kinds of devices fabricated on the micron scale, such as sensors, actuators, and instruments. These devices are usually fabricated with integrated circuit technology on a silicon substrate. Such MEMS technology allows the fabrication of microsensors that are very small in size and that are easily transitioned into standard Integrated Circuit (IC) technology facilitates manufacturing.

Referring again to FIG. 1, it is well-known that a light ray 18 incident upon the corner cube reflector from direction A will result in a reflected-back ray 20 from direction -A, i.e. toward light source 22. This is the case when the light reflects off the three plano-reflective surfaces of the corner cube reflector. See for example, Scholl, "Ray Trace Through a Corner-Cube Reflector With Complex Reflection Coefficients", Journal of the Optical Society of America A, Vol. 12, No. 7, pp. 1589-1592 (1995).

Microcantilevers, such as those used in atomic force microscopy, are known to undergo bending due to forces involved in molecular adsorption. Adsorption induced forces can be so large that on a clean surface they can rearrange the lattice locations of surface and subsurface atoms, producing surface reconstructions and relaxations. An analogous transduction process is found in biology, where the interaction of membrane molecules modifies the lateral tension of a lipid bilayer. The resulting curvature of the membrane is responsible for mechanically triggering

- 1 membrane protein function. See Zhiyu Hu, T. Thundat, and R. J. Warmack from Oak Ridge
- 2 National Laboratory reported their "Investigation of adsorption and absorption-induced stresses
- 3 using microcantilever sensors" in Journal of Applied Physics, Vol 90, Number 1. See also J.
- 4 Fritz, MK Baller and HP Lang titled "Translating biomolecular recognition into nanomechanics",
- 5 Science; Volume 288, Issue 5464, Pg. 316-318.

6 Specialized coatings, such as polymer coatings, may be added to the microcantilevers to

react to specific agents of interest. Such coatings permit selected chemical/biological adsorption

or absorption to take place at the cantilever. See the references by J. Fritz, MK Baller and HP

Lang titled "Translating biomolecular recognition into nanomechanics", Science; Volume 288,

Issue 5464, Pg. 316-318.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Referring now to FIG. 2, a bimaterial cantilever 24 is made part of a corner cube reflector 26 having reflective surfaces 28, 30 and 32. Reflector 26 as shown in FIG. 2 is identified herein as being in a first sensing condition characterized by reflective surface 32 of bimaterial cantilever 24 being substantially planar. When reflective surface 32 is substantially planar, the three reflecting surfaces 28, 30 and 32 of the reflector are mutually orthogonal as shown. Though reflector 26 is illustrated to include a single bimaterial cantilever, two or three such cantilevers may be used. Electromagnetic radiation 34, such as thermal, infrared, light or other, is projected from source 36 and is received in a first electromagnetic radiation state 38 by a detector 40. The first state of the electromagnetic radiation corresponds to reflected radiation when reflector 26 is in the first sensing condition as described above and as shown in FIG. 2.

Cantilever 24 may be comprised of a variety of material, examples of which can be

found, for example, in the atomic force microscopy field. This field is known to employ cantilevers having a base of Si or Si3N4 and a thin reflective surface of either gold or palladium.

Referring now to FIG. 3, another embodiment is shown wherein an agent sensitive coating 42 is suitably disposed on bimaterial cantilever 24. When positioned as shown in FIG. 3, such a coating is selected to be thin enough (suitable transparent) so that the reflectivity of the underlying material is not obstructed or is selected to be reflective itself. Such a coating, for example a polymer coating, is chosen to selectively bond to an agent of interest, such as a chemical or biological species.

Referring now to FIG. 4, a second sensing condition of reflector 26 is shown wherein bimaterial cantilever 24 has deflected as a function of molecular interactions. The cantilever transforms from a substantially planar shape, as shown in FIG. 2, to a curved shape upon encountering an agent of interest. Reflective surface 32 will likewise undertake this curved shape as well as any agent sensitive coating 42 placed upon the reflective surface, whether the coating itself is also reflective or is transparent as indicated above. When cantilever 24 deflects, it disrupts the alignment of the corner cube reflector. Electromagnetic energy 34 from source 36 takes on a second state 38' upon being reflected from the reflector in the condition shown and is then received at detector 40. In this process, the second sate of the electromagnetic energy (38') has experienced a shift from the first state of the electromagnetic energy (38) as received at detector 40. The change in the received electromagnetic energy, due to the deflection of cantilever 24, may be measured at detector 40 in terms of intensity, angular direction or phase change, and is equated with a change in the presence of an agent of interest, such as a chemical or

	20
biological agent speci-	vo

	In th	ne figures, for simplicity, the associated substrate on which the cantilever is formed is
not sho	wn.	This substrate, however, may contain control circuitry, alternate sensors, etc. as
desired	for	specific applications.

The method of fabricating the corner cube chemical-biological agent sensor is analogous to the steps carried out in prior art MEMS corner cube fabrication, with the exception that one or more bimaterial cantilevers are used and that an optional agent sensitive coating is formed on the cantilever or cantilevers. It is suitable to form the coating prior to the assembly of the corner cube. Piezoelectric transducers, as practiced in the art, could be integrated to self-assemble the corner cube reflector.

The sensor described herein is miniaturizable, allows remote (non-contact) read-out, requires no electrical bias (power), and is immune to electromagnetic interference.

Though a sensor employing a corner cube retroreflector has been described, the concept of utilizing a bimaterial cantilever is considered extendable to other retroreflectors, such as a penta-prism.

Obviously, many modifications and variations are possible in light of the above description. It is therefore to be understood that within the scope of the claims the invention may be practiced otherwise than as has been specifically described.